1 2 3 4	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DERWIN & SIEGEL DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 3280 Alpine Road Portola Valley, CA 94028 Telephone: (650)529-8700 Facsimile: (650) 529-8799  INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORAMARK SCADINA - #173103 JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144	ATION
13 14 15	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16 17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff,  v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  AND COUNTER ACTION.	Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ)  Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA  PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT
26 27		

up at the Case Management Conference hearing set for February 13, or such other prehearing conference as the Court may wish to schedule. Substantive argument on these issues is set forth in the Joint Case Management Conference Statement filed concurrently herewith.

- A. Issues upon which the parties agree:
  - 1. Live expert testimony should not be presented. Each party will undertake its best efforts to have its above-designated expert(s) present at the hearing to respond to questions from the Court.
  - 2. Each party will undertake its best efforts to have its declarants available for deposition within one week of submitting Claim Construction or indefiniteness summary judgment declarations.
  - 3. Normal briefing page limits should be doubled for the Claim Construction briefs.
  - 4. There will be no post-hearing briefing, except at the request of the Court.
- B. Issues which the parties agree should be taken up at the Case Management Conference, but as to which the parties do not agree on substance:
  - 1. The number of claim construction briefs to be filed by the parties.
  - 2. Format of the Claim Construction Hearing.
    - a. Whether the parties should present tutorials, and, if so, the length and format of such a tutorial.
    - b. Whether the parties should present a non-tutorial opening statement.
    - c. The format and ordering of substantive argument on disputed claim language.
    - d. Whether the currently scheduled Mini-Markman proceeding should be devoted to all of the disputed terms and phrases from the 12 selected patent claims, or a subset.

27

28